### Seminar Series: In Architecture and the Built Environment The University of Huddersfield

## The Complete Guide to Serious Games & Simulations For Lean-Integrated Project Delivery

## Zofia K. Rybkowski, PhD

Associate Professor Presidential Impact Fellow Department of Construction Science Texas A&M University zrybkowski@tamu.edu



November 8, 2023

## Acknowledgements to:

Dr. Ganesh Devkar CEPT University, Ahmedabad, India

### The Construction Industry Advisory Council (CIAC)

Department of Construction Science Texas A&M University College Station, TX USA

### **Education & Professional Experience**



![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

See videos about this research: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v8r5\_RW9hIs&t=373s</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xg9rWE3qj2M</u>

Serious Games and Simulations for Lean-Integrated Project Delivery

## Today's Agenda

- 1) Brief review of Lean (history & key concepts)
- 2) Lean **simulations** (their importance to developing a culture of Lean)

## 1. Brief Review of Lean

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Why Lean Construction Came About

# Challenges in construction project management that lead to experiments with Lean...

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

## LCI's Definition of Lean Construction...

## " Culture of respect

and continuous improvement aimed at creating more value for the customer while identifying and eliminating waste. "

> --Lean Construction Institute Glossary https://www.leanconstruction.org/learning/education/glossary/#l

**1. Culture of respect** and **2. continuous improvement** aimed at **3.** creating **more value** for the customer while **4.** identifying and **eliminating waste**.

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Adapted from: Rybkowski, Z. K., Abdelhamid, T., and Forbes, L. (2013). "On the back of a cocktail napkin: An exploration of graphic definitions of lean construction," Proceedings of the 21<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference for the International Group for Lean Construction; July 31-August 2, 2013: Fortaleza, Brazil, 83-92

### **Current Lean Practice: like the Blind Men and the Elephant**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Image source: https://fs.blog/elephant/

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

Zofia Rybkowski, PhD . Texas A&M University . zrybkowski@tamu.edu

Image Credits: the ReAlignment Group of California, LLC <u>http://darzpage.com/</u>: Vatne, M. E, and Drevland, F.( 2016)." Practical Benefits of Using Takt Tirne Planning: A Case Study." Proc. 24th Ann. Conf. of the Int!. Group for Lean Construction, Boston, MA, USA, sect6 pp. 173–182. Available at: <u>www.igtc.net</u>. Mi Sun An <sunm2an@email.tamu.edu>

# How does Lean differ from other delivery methods?

How does Lean differ from other delivery methods?

## LEAN is a CULTURE of INCLUSION & RESPECT

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

### "House of Lean"

Figure 3-3, p. 33, The Toyota Production System, Liker, J. (2004). *The Toyota Way: 14 Management Principles from the World's Greatest Manufacturer*, McGraw-Hill, New York.

## What does a culture of inclusion & respect look like?

## With every pair of hands comes a free brain

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

# What does a lean culture of inclusion and respect look like?

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

<u>Traditional</u>

<u>Lean</u>

#### The MacLeamy Curve

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Integrated Project Delivery**

Adapted from: http://ohainc.com/news\_detail.php?news\_id=00031 (accessed on October 17, 2012)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Shared project knowledge

by team members during typical Design-Bid-Build project delivery (top), and during Lean Project delivery (bottom), as speculated by Will Lichtig (2008). Note that shared project understanding is much greater toward the beginning of a project during Lean Project delivery.

### Shared Project Knowledge

Adapted from Lichtig (2008), as presented in Feng and Tommelein (2009).

Lichtig, W. (2008). Common Understanding vs. Time, McDonough Holland & Allen Attorneys at Law (Powerpoint presentation slides). Feng, P. P., and Tommelein, I. D. (2009). Modeling the Effect of Alternative Review Processes: Case Study of a State Permitting Agency, Seattle, USA

#### **Traditional**

#### Lean-IPD

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Travel path of an RFI in traditional (left) versus Lean (right) project delivery

Adapted from: Rybkowski, Z. K. (2009). "The Application of Root Cause Analysis and Target Value Design to Evidence-Based Design in the Capital Planning of Healthcare Facilities," Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, CA

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

### A culture of upfront planning

Lean embraces delivery from start to finish...

## **Target Value Delivery**

## **Target Value Delivery**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

 TVD:
 LPS:

 Target Value Design
 Last Planner System<sup>TM</sup> of Production Control

 Ballard, G. (2000). "The Last Planner System of Production Control," Doctoral dissertation, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

 Ballard, G. (2008). "The Lean Project Delivery System: an Update." Lean Construction Journal, 1-19.

## **Target Value Delivery**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

**TVD:** Target Value Design

LPS: Last Planner System<sup>™</sup> of Production Control

### Cost performance on some typical construction projects

| Froblematic construct              | tion projects (adapted in | onn orbes and Anneu | 2011, p. 577   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Name of Project                    | Budgeted cost             | Final Cost          | Growth of cost |
|                                    | (\$ millions)             | (\$ millions)       | (%)            |
| Hanford Nuclear Facility (2001)    | 715                       | 1,600               | 120            |
| Capitol Hill Visitor Center (2008) | 265                       | 621                 | 134            |
| Denver Airport (1995)              | 1,700                     | 4,800               | 180            |
| Boston Big Dig (2005)              | 2,600                     | 14,600              | 460            |

#### Problematic construction projects (adapted from Forbes and Ahmed 2011, p. 57)

#### Cost performance on construction projects using TVD

Examples of cost results following Target Value Design exercises on reduction of capital cost (Glenn Ballard, personal communication, 2012)

| Name of Project | Market cost   | Final Cost    | Reduction of cost |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| (SF)            | (\$ millions) | (\$ millions) | (%)               |
| Project A       | 98,000,000    | 89,200,000    | 9.0               |
| (368,882 SF)    |               |               |                   |
| Project B       | 13,533,179    | 11,717,000    | 13.4              |
| (114,000 SF)    |               |               |                   |
| Project C       | 13,600,000    | 11,200,000    | 17.6              |
| (75,362 SF)     |               |               |                   |
| Project D:      | 22,000,000    | 17,900,000    | 18.6              |
| (230,000 SF)    |               |               |                   |

### Cost performance comparing traditional versus TVD case studies

Adapted from: Forbes, L. H., and Ahmed, S. M. (2011). *Modern Construction: Lean Project Delivery and Integrated Practices*, CRC Press, Boca Raton. *Adapted from:* Ballard, G. (personal communication, 2012)

## 2. Lean simulations

Training stakeholders in the use of **lean tools** is *time-consuming* & requires *"buy-in"* from participants.

# And...there are a lot of lean tools that require *willing collaboration from stakeholders*!

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

Lean simulations used to quickly teach lean concepts & tools have emerged from within the lean community itself.

## **Examples of Lean Simulations**

- from Academia: Examples of simulations that have and have been developed and tested by academic researchers include:
  - Silent Squares (Bavelas 1973)
  - Parade of Trades (Tommelein and Riley 1999)
  - LEAPCON simulation (Sacks 2007)
  - Marshmallow Tower TVD simulation (Rybkowski et al. 2016)
- from *Industry*: LCI estimated about 100 US-based construction companies use simulations to teach lean to their employees (Kristin Hill, personal communication, February 5, 2021). Examples of simulations that have emerged from industry include:
  - -The Lego<sup>TM</sup> Airplane Game (Visionary Products Inc. 2008)
  - Wood Block Tower Exercise, DPR/Turner (George Zettel, Turner, *personal communication*, November 2, 2020)

- Lean principles can be **difficult** to grasp conceptually (Liker 2004, Tzortzopoulos et al. 2020)
- Action research of lean on construction sites is helpful, but controlled scientific experimentation on sites is nearly impossible, due to confounding variables.
- Lean simulations offer the types of controlled laboratory conditions usually found in physical and biological sciences (Rybkowski et al. 2012; Verma 2003).
- Lean simulations therefore impart an "**aha moment**" to participants and give confidence to those who teach lean (Rybkowski et al. 2020; Verma 2003).
- They tend to be enjoyable to play and can help unify the stakeholder team.

## Universities use simulations to teach critical key lean concepts to students.

|  |                       |                              |                       |                            |                          | Tsao et a                   | I. (2013)              |  | wski, Forbes, and    | pes, and Tsao. (2018) |                       |  |                            |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|
| OVERVIE W<br>Instructor  | U. Cincinnati<br>Tsao | Arizona State<br>Mitropoulos | San Diego St<br>Alves | S. Illinois U.<br>Azambuja | Amer. U. Beir.<br>Hamzeh | Ill. Inst. Tech.<br>Menches | Texas A&M<br>Rybhnwski | OVERVIEW<br>Instructor   | N Carolina St<br>Liu | Virginia Tech<br>Muir | Colorado St<br>Senior | Michigan St<br>Abdelhamid                                  | Pittsburg St<br>Levens     |
| SIMULATIONS<br>55 Game<br>Airplane Game<br>Cocktail Napkin<br>Cups Game<br>Delta Design<br>Demings Red-Bead<br>Helium Stick<br>Leapcon<br>Magic Tarp<br>Maroon-White | x<br>x<br>x<br>x      | х                            | х                     | x<br>x                     | X<br>X<br>Variant        | X<br>X                      | x<br>x<br>x<br>x       | SIMULATIONS<br>5S Game<br>Airplane Game<br>Cocktail Napkin<br>Cups Game<br>Delta Design<br>Deming s Red-Bead<br>Helium Stick<br>Leapcon<br>Magic Tarp<br>Maroon-White<br>Origani Game  | x<br>x               | х                     | x                     | x<br>x<br>x<br>x<br>x<br>x                                 |                            |
| Origami Game<br>Parade Game<br>Radioactive Popcorn<br>Silent Squares<br>TVD Game<br>Win As Much As   | X<br>X                | х                            | X<br>X                | X<br>X<br>X                | x<br>x<br>x              | X<br>X<br>X                 | x<br>x                 | Parade Game<br>Radioactive Popcorn<br>Silent Squares<br>TVD Game<br>Win As Much As   | x<br>x               | x<br>x                | x<br>x                | x<br>x<br>x<br>x   | х                          |
|  |                       | Gr                           | owth o                | oflean                     | i simula                 | ations                      |                        | <ul> <li>Additional:<br/>Ball Game<br/>DPR Block Tower<br/>Gemba Walk<br/>Last Planner (AGC)<br/>Leadership Styles<br/>Lego Hotel/Tower<br/>Light Fixtures<br/>Make-a-Card<br/>Marshmallow Challenge<br/>NASA Survive/ Moon<br/>No./Task Switching<br/>Oops<br/>Original Dice Game<br/>Prison Door Case<br/>Repairman<br/>Villego</li> </ul> | x                    | x<br>x                | х                     | X<br>X<br>X<br>X<br>Variant<br>X<br>Variant<br>X<br>X<br>X | x<br>x<br>x<br>x<br>x<br>x |

## But then...

• On March 11, 2020, director general of WHO declared the spread of COVID-19 to be a **global pandemic**, transmitted to over 110 countries and territories.

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Many universities and lean consultants around the world transitioned to **on-line or hybrid** format.
- A lean consultant in Germany send an **email appeal** to educators and consultants to figure out how to take lean simulations online (Annett Schöttle, personal communication, March 21, 2020).
- The appeal represented an urgent need (gap) to fill.

## At Texas A&M we started the group APLSO

(Administering and Playing Lean Simulations On-Line)

- APLSO participants decided to meet on Zoom for 90 minutes at the same time every Monday from March 30 until the start of the fall 2020 when meetings became monthly, which we continue to do.
- Requests to join spread by word-of-mouth and were directed to Texas A&M's organizer (Rybkowski); admission was intentionally open and welcoming—those who showed interest were invited and given Zoom access.
- All sessions must be interactive and include 15 minute **plus/delta session** at the end.

At first participants converted pre-existing simulations, but eventually completely novel ones started to emerge as well. Some examples...

In person simulation

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

Example application in Industry: Last Planner™ System of Production Control

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Parade of Trades Simulation** 

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

Source photos: Colin Milberg (https://leanconstruction.org/pages/parade-of-trades-simulation/) Aliko Sunawang from *Pexels* (https://medium.com/10x-curiosity/dice-game-make-more-production-f9766258510a); *Credit*: Tommelein, I.D. and Riley, D.R. (1999) 'Parade Game: Impact of workflow variability on trade performance,' Journal of Construction Engineering & Management, 125 (5), pp. 304–310.

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

Image Credits- the ReAlignment Group of California, LLC <http://danzpage.com/>; Nieto-Morote, A. and Ruz-Vila, F. (2012) "Last Planner Control System Applied to a Chemical Plant Construction," Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 138(2)

On-line version

#### Parade of Trades Simulation

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

*Developers:* Colin Milberg colin@askmassociates.com, Ryan Popp<ryan@askmassociates.com & Cynthia Tsao <cynthia@navilean.com>

Example application in Industry: Last Planner™ System of Production Control

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

Image Credits- the ReAlignment Group of California, LLC <a href="http://danzpage.com/">http://danzpage.com/</a>; Nieto-Morote, A. and Ruz-Vila, F. (2012) "Last Planner Control System Applied to a Chemical Plant Construction," Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 138(2) In person simulation

#### Lego<sup>™</sup> Airplane Simulation

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### USING CONTROLLED EXPERIMENTS TO CALIBRATE COMPUTER MODELS: THE AIRPLANE GAME AS A LEAN SIMULATION EXERCISE

Zofia K. Rybkowski<sup>1</sup>, John-Michael Wong<sup>2</sup>, Glenn Ballard<sup>3</sup> and Iris D. Tommelein<sup>4</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Simulation games may be used to introduce lean principles to those who are considering implementing them. However, they can also function as controlled experiments against which to calibrate a computer model and they can even be adapted to serve as the gold standard of scientific experimentation, the randomizedcontrolled trial. Results generated from a live playing of the Airphane Game validate an EZStrobe computer-based simulation model representing cone part of the game. Close alignment of results suggests that the computer model will likely be able to accurately predict outcomes from similarly structured, real life activities, such as

(bkowski, Zofia; Zhou, Xun; Lavy, Sarrel; and emandez-Solis, Jose (2012) Investigation into the sture of productivity gain: observed during the rplane Game lean simulation. Lean Construction surnal 2012 pp. 78-90 www.leanconstructionjournal.org

#### Investigation into the nature of productivity gains observed during the Airplane Game lean simulation

Zofia K. Rybkowski<sup>1</sup>, Xun Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Sarel Lavy<sup>3</sup>, Jose Fernández-Solís<sup>4</sup>

#### Abstract

- Research Question: What is the nature of productivity gains observed during live playing of the lean simulation, the "airplane game"?
- Purpose: The purpose of this research is to investigate and identify the nature of productivity gains observed during live playing of the lean simulation, the airplane game. The intent is two fold: (1) to identify the specific mechanistic impact of each lean principle, as it is successively introduced; and (2) to identify the productivity controlutions of non-mechanistic phenomena such as learning curve and/or Hawthorne Effect. The game serves as a proxy for controlled experimentation in the field-experimentation that is difficult to conduct on actual construction projects barries and the serves of the s
- that is important when making claims regarding generalizability of results. Research lethods To identify the specific mechanistic impact of each lean principle, researchest used Microsoft Excel to graphically map the airplane simulation, stationby-station and second-by-second. Metrics cust has time to first black number of successful planes and work-in-process were derived from the Excel graphic and evaluated after each round to understand the specific impact of each successivelyintroduced leas principle. To identify the specific impact of non-mechanistic processes on productivity (such as learning curve and Hawhome effect), researchers compared average results from tive playings against results derived from the Excel graphic.
- Findings: Comparison of results obtained from the Excel graphic demonstrate the following: (1) reducing batch sizes primarily results in reduced time to first batch; transitioning from a push to pull system primarily results in reducing of work-inprocess; and transitioning from an uneven loading of work to a work-leveled system primarily results in an increased amount of final product; and (2) the contribution of productivity gains from non-mechanistic phenomena such as learning curve and/or Hawthome effects is relatively minor (i.e. approximately 70% of productivity gains from the control of the control o
- <sup>1</sup> Primary Contact: Assistant Professor, Department of Construction Science, Texas ABM University, College Station, TX, U.S.A., zryklewski@amu.edu Graduate Suden, Department of Construction Science, Texas ABM University, College Station, TX, U.S.A. Assistant Priedexor, Department of Construction Science, Texas ABM University, College Station, TX, U.S.A. Assistant Priedexor, Department of Construction Science, Texas ABM University, College Station, TX, U.S.A.

DOGO Lean Construction Journal 2012 78

Source: Visionary Products: <a href="https://store.lean-zone.com/Lean-Zone-Production-Methodologies.aspx">https://store.lean-zone.com/Lean-Zone-Production-Methodologies.aspx</a> Research study: Rybkowski, Z. K., Zhou, X., Lavy, S. and Fernández-Solís, J. (2012). "Investigation into the nature of productivity gains observed during the Airplane Game lean simulation," *Lean Construction Journal*, 78-90.

#### Example application in Industry: Takt time scheduling

![](_page_41_Picture_19.jpeg)

Image sources: Last Planner System of Production Control, HOAR Construction, Last Planner® System <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kUT-9WiYyso">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kUT-9WiYyso</a>,

16th LCI Congress, Oct. 7-10, 2014,

Kankainen J., and Seppanen, O. (2003). "A line-of-balance based schedule planning and controlling system," *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Group for Lean Construction*, Blacksburg, VA

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Data Summary**

|         |            | Team Yellow Brea | Rout Group 1               | /               |            | Paducing     | hate  |
|---------|------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|-------|
|         | Good Homes | Failed Homes     | Time to First<br>Good Home | Work in Process | Pull p     | revents over | prod  |
| Phase 1 | 1          | 3                | 4:45                       | 8               | 101111-011 | TH is dotor  | miner |
| Phase 2 | 16         | 2                | 1:24                       | 5               |            | in is deten  |       |
| Phase 3 | Result     | Result           | Result                     | Result          |            |              |       |
|         |            | Team Green - Bre | akout Room 2               |                 | -          |              | Team  |
|         | Good Homes | Failed Homes     | Time to First<br>Good Home | Work in Process |            | Good Homes   | Falle |
| Phase 1 | 10         | 2                | 3:29                       | 12              | Phase 1    | 4            |       |
| Phase 2 | 14         | 4                | 1:24                       | 6               | Phase 2    | 5            |       |
| Phase 3 | Result     | Result           | Result                     | Result          | Phose 3    | Result       |       |
|         |            | Team Red - Brea  | kout Room 3                |                 |            |              | Team  |

WIP = TH X CT

Reducing batch/WIP lowers CT ull prevents overproduction by controlling WIP TH is determined by the bottleneck

|        |            | Team Green - Brea | akout Room 2               | 5               | -       |            | Team Blue - Brea | Aout Room 4                |                 |
|--------|------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
|        | Good Homes | Failed Homes      | Time to First<br>Good Home | Work in Process |         | Good Homes | Failed Homes     | Time to First<br>Good Home | Work in Process |
| lase 1 | 10         | 2                 | 3:29                       | 12              | Phase 1 | 4          | 0                | 4:52                       | 20              |
| hase 2 | 14         | 4                 | 1:24                       | 6               | Phase 2 | 5          | 1                | 2:48                       | 5               |
| iase 0 | Result     | Result            | Result                     | Result          | Pease 3 | Result     | Result           | Result                     | Result          |
|        |            | Team Red - Break  | kout Room 3                |                 |         |            | Team Blue + Brea | kout Room 5                | 10              |
|        | Good Homes | Failed Homes      | Time to First<br>Good Home | Work in Process |         | Good Nomes | Failed Homes     | Time to First<br>Good Home | Work in Process |
| tase 1 | 16         | a                 | 2:22                       |                 | Phase 1 | Result     | Result           | Result                     | Result          |
| 1356 2 | 19         | 0                 | 1:10                       | 2               | Phase 2 | Result     | Result           | Result                     | Result          |
| tase 3 | Result     | Result            | Result                     | Result          | Phase 3 | Result     | Result           | Result                     | Result          |

*Source:* Colin Milberg <colin@askmassociates.com>, Ryan Popp <ryan@askmassociates.com & Cynthia Tsao <cynthia@navilean.com>

Example application in Industry: Takt time scheduling

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

Image sources: Last Planner System of Production Control, HOAR Construction, Last Planner® System <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kUT-9WiYyso>, 16<sup>th</sup> LCI Congress, Oct. 7-10, 2014, Kankainen J., and Seppanen, O. (2003). "A line-of-balance based schedule planning

and controlling system," Proceedings of the 11th International Group for Lean Construction, Blacksburg, VA

| 5S Simu         | lation  |              |  |       |  | In po<br>simu  | erson<br>lation   |   |  |   |           |          |
|-----------------|---|--------------|--|-------|--|--|---|---|--|---|-----------|----------|
| Sort • Set in O | Che 5S<br>bers Gan<br>der • Standardize • Star<br>warmen er | ne.<br>stata | 21 "<br>5 02 4<br>3 02 4<br>3 01<br>3 01 |       | 5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/<br>5/ | 277 *** % 62<br>553 ** 68<br>553 ** 6 | الم<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال<br>ال | 0 , 24<br>2 , 24<br>2 , 24<br>1 , 24<br>2 , 24<br>1 , 24<br>2 | εεε 45 27<br>15 36<br>23 8 1/ <sup>2</sup><br>23 8 1/ <sup>2</sup><br>23 8 1/ <sup>2</sup><br>23 8 1/ <sup>2</sup><br>23 8 1/ <sup>2</sup><br>25 0π <sup>2</sup> | - | Before 5S | After 5S |
|                 | Ν   | Jun          | nbei                                     | rs fr | om 1   | to 4   | 9   |   |  |   |           |          |
| 1               | 2   | 3            | 4  | 5     | 6  | 7  | 8   | P   | 10   |   |           |          |
| 11              | 12  | 13           | 14                                       | 15    | 16   | 17   | 18  | 19  | 20   |   |           |          |
| 21              | 22  | 23           | 24                                       | 25    | 26   | 27   | 28  | 29  | 30   |   |           |          |
| 31              | 32  |              | 34                                       | 35    | 36   | 37   | 38  | 39  | 40   |   |           |          |
| 41              | 42  | 43           | 44                                       | 45    | 46   | 47   | 48  | 49  |  |   |           |          |

McNew, T. (July 26, 2011). "TD Industries: Lean Transformation," presentation delivered to Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

Adapted from <www.superteams.com> (PDF)

**On-line** version

**5S Simulation** 

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

<u>5S</u>:

- Sort
- Set in Order
- Shine
- Standardize
- Sustain

Source: Obulam, R. and Rybkowski, Z. K. (2021). "Development and testing of the 5S puzzle game" Proc. 29th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC29), Alarcon, L.F. and González, V.A. (eds.), Lima, Peru, pp. 309-319.

Before 5S

After 5S

![](_page_44_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_22.jpeg)

McNew, T. (July 26, 2011). "TD Industries: Lean Transformation," presentation delivered to Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

In person simulation

R G R B

Y

G

в

G

BG

G

R

в

Y B

G

#### **DPR/Turner Tower Simulation**

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

Adapted from DPR for DPR/Turner Joint Venture. George Zettel: <gzettel@tcco.com>

Example application in Industry: Last Planner™ System of Production Control

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

Image Credits- the ReAlignment Group of California, LLC <http://danzpage.com/>; Nieto-Morote, A. and Ruz-Vila, F. (2012) "Last Planner Control System Applied to a Chemical Plant Construction," Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 138(2)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

Adapted from DPR for DPR/Turner Joint Venture. Online by Romano Nickerson rnickerson@boulderassociates.com>

Example application in Industry: Last Planner™ System of Production Control

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

Image Credits- the ReAlignment Group of California, LLC <u>http://dan2page.com/</u> Nieto-Morote, A. and Ruz-Vila, F. (2012) "Last Planner Control System Applied to a Chemical Plant Construction," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 138(2)

In person simulation

#### **Target Value Design Simulation**

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

\$ 118

|  |  | TEAN   | 1A   |  | TEAM B   |                                       | TEAM C  |                                  | TEAM D   |  | TEAM E  |  | TEAM  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
|  | Unit cost  | No. of units                                   | Subtotal   | No. of units                           |  | No. of units                          |   | No. of units                     |  | No. of units                               |   | No. of units                           |   |
| Speghetti sticks   | \$1.00   | 3  | \$3.00   | 6                                      | \$5.00   | 9                                     | \$9.00  | 0                                | \$0.00   | 4  | \$4,00  | 2                                      | \$2.00  |
| Coffee Stimers   | \$5.00   | 21   | \$105.00   | 1                                      | \$5.00   | 11                                    | \$55.00   | 8                                | \$40.00  | 8  | \$40.00   | 0                                      | \$0.0   |
| Drinking straws  | \$2.00   | 30   | \$60.00  | 12                                     | \$24.00  | 5                                     | \$10.00   | 24                               | \$48.00  | 16   | \$32.00   | 9                                      | 518.0   |
| Bamboo skewers   | \$3.00   | 26   | \$48.00  | 15                                     | \$45.00  | 2                                     | \$6.00  | 8                                | \$24.00  | 4  | \$12.00   | 8                                      | \$24.0  |
| Masking tape (per join   | \$0.50   | 27   | \$8.50   | 9                                      | \$4.50   | 3                                     | \$1.50  | 1                                | \$4.00   |  | \$4.00  | 1                                      | \$0.5   |
| Subtotal   |  |  | \$224.50   |  | \$84.50  |                                       | \$\$1.50  |                                  |  |  | \$92.00   |  | \$44.3  |
| Profit (10%)   |  |  | \$22.45  |  | \$8.45   |                                       | \$8.15  |                                  | \$11.60  |  | \$9.20  |  | \$4.4   |
| TOTAL  |  |  | \$246.95   |  | \$92.95  | _                                     | \$89.65   |                                  | \$127.60   | _  | \$101.20  | _                                      | \$48.5  |
| Part II. Establish Target Co   | ыt   |  |  |  |  |                                       |   |                                  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Market Cost Cost (+ avera  | ge of all  | towers)  | \$117.88   |  |  |                                       |   |                                  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Allowable Cost (+20% Iow   | ver than N   | farket cost)                                   | \$94.31  |  |  |                                       |   |                                  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Teams Declare Target G   | HR   |  | 94.31  |  | 80   |                                       | 85  |                                  | 70   |  | 85  |  | 35  |
| TARGET COST (+average  | of all dec   | lared TCs)                                     | 74.885   |  |  |                                       |   |                                  |  |  |   |  |   |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |                                       |   |                                  |  |  |   |  |   |
| BOUND 2: Design to Targe   | et Cost  |  |  |  |  |                                       |   |                                  |  |  |   |  |   |
| NOUND 2: Design to Targ  | et Casil   | TEAA   | 1.4  |  | TEAM B   |                                       | TEAM C  |                                  | TEAM D   |  | TEAM E  |  | TEAM  |
| NOUND 2: Design to Targe   | et Cost<br>Unit cost                                 | TEAA<br>No. of units                           | A  | No. of units                           | TEAM B   | No. of units                          | TEAM C  | No. of units                     | TEAM D   | No. of units                               | TEAM E  | No. of units                           | TEAM  |
| NOUND 2: Design to Targo<br>Spaghetti sticks   | unit cost<br>\$1.00                                  | TEAN<br>No. of units                           | A<br>Subtotal<br>S1.00   | No. of units                           | TEAM 8   | No. of units                          | TEAM C<br>\$1.00  | No. of units                     | TEAM D   | No. of units                               | TEAM E 54.00  | No. of units<br>0                      | 11.AM   |
| NOUND 2: Design to Targo<br>Spaghetti sticks<br>Coffee Stimers   | unit cost<br>\$1.00<br>\$5.00                        | TEAN<br>No. of units<br>1<br>0                 | A<br>Subtotal<br>S1.00<br>S0.00  | No. of units<br>4<br>0                 | TEAM 8<br>54.00<br>50.00   | No. of units                          | TEAM C<br>\$1.00<br>\$30.00   | No. of units<br>0<br>0           | TEAM D<br>50.00<br>50.00   | No. of units<br>4<br>4                     | TEAM E<br>54.00<br>520.00   | No. of units<br>0<br>0                 | 50.0<br>50.0  |
| NDUND 2: Design to Targo<br>Spaghetti sticks<br>Coffee Stimers<br>Drinking straws  | urvit cost<br>\$1.00<br>\$5.00<br>\$2.00             | TEAN<br>No. of units<br>1<br>0<br>3            | A<br>Subtotal<br>S1.00<br>S0.00<br>S6.00                                       | No. of units<br>4<br>0<br>12           | TEAM B<br>54.00<br>50.00<br>524.00   | No. of units<br>1<br>6<br>5           | 51.00<br>\$30.00<br>\$6.00  | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>6      | TEAM D<br>50.00<br>50.00<br>512.00                                       | No. of units<br>4<br>4<br>2                | TEAM E<br>54.00<br>520.00<br>54.00  | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>30           | 50.0<br>50.0<br>520.0   |
| ROUND 2: Design to Targo<br>Spaghetti sticks<br>Coffee Stimers<br>Dinki (rg Staws<br>Bamboo skewers  | unit cost<br>\$1.00<br>\$5.00<br>\$2.00<br>\$3.00    | TEAN<br>No. of units<br>1<br>0<br>3<br>9       | A<br>Subtotal<br>S1.00<br>S0.00<br>S6.00<br>S27.00                             | No. of units<br>4<br>0<br>12<br>9      | TEAM B<br>54.00<br>50.00<br>524.00<br>527.00                                     | No. of units<br>1<br>6<br>3<br>6      | TEAM C<br>\$1.00<br>\$30.00<br>\$6.00<br>\$18.00                      | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>6<br>8 | TEAM D<br>50.00<br>50.00<br>512.00<br>534.00                             | No. of units<br>4<br>4<br>2<br>4           | TEAM E<br>54.00<br>520.00<br>54.00<br>512.00                              | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>30<br>3      | 50.0<br>50.0<br>520.0<br>520.0                                |
| ROUND 2: Design to Targo<br>Spaghetti sticks<br>Coffee Stimers<br>Drinking straws<br>Bamboo skawers<br>Masking targe (per join                           | unit cost<br>51.00<br>52.00<br>53.00<br>50.50        | TEAN<br>No. of units<br>1<br>0<br>3<br>9<br>23 | A<br>Subnotal<br>S1.00<br>S0.00<br>S6.00<br>S27.00<br>S5.50                    | No. of units<br>4<br>0<br>12<br>9<br>0 | 54.00<br>50.00<br>524.00<br>527.00<br>50.00                                      | No. of units<br>1<br>6<br>3<br>6<br>1 | TEAM C<br>\$1.00<br>\$90.00<br>\$6.00<br>\$18.00<br>\$0.50            | No. of units<br>0<br>6<br>8<br>4 | 50.00<br>50.00<br>512.00<br>534.00<br>52.00                              | No. of units<br>4<br>4<br>2<br>4<br>4<br>4 | 54.00<br>520.00<br>54.00<br>532.00<br>522.00                              | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>30<br>3<br>2 | 50.00<br>50.00<br>520.0<br>59.00<br>59.00                     |
| ROUND 2: Design to Targo<br>Spaghetti sticks<br>Coffee Sciners<br>Diniking staws<br>Bamboo skawers<br>Masking tage (per Join<br>Subtonia)                | et Cost<br>S1.00<br>S5.00<br>S2.00<br>S3.00<br>S0.50 | 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0         | A<br>Subnotal<br>S1.00<br>S0.00<br>S6.00<br>S27.00<br>S5.50<br>S40.50          | No. of units<br>4<br>0<br>12<br>9<br>0 | TEAM 8<br>54.00<br>50.00<br>524.00<br>527.00<br>50.00<br>555.00                  | No. of units<br>1<br>6<br>3<br>6<br>1 | TEAM C<br>\$1.00<br>\$30.00<br>\$6.00<br>\$18.00<br>\$0.50<br>\$33.50 | No. of units<br>0<br>6<br>8<br>4 | TEAM D<br>50.00<br>50.00<br>512.00<br>524.00<br>52.00<br>538.00          | No. of units<br>4<br>2<br>4<br>4           | TEAM E<br>54.00<br>520.00<br>54.00<br>512.00<br>52.00<br>542.00           | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>30<br>3<br>2 | 50.0<br>50.0<br>520.0<br>520.0<br>520.0<br>52.0<br>52.0<br>52 |
| NDUND 2: Design to Targe<br>Songheeti sticks<br>Coffee Grimers<br>Drinking straws<br>Samboo skewers<br>Masking tape (per Join<br>Subtots)<br>Polit (10%) | et Cost<br>S1.00<br>S5.00<br>S2.00<br>S3.00<br>S0.50 | TEAN<br>No. of units<br>1<br>0<br>3<br>9<br>13 | A<br>Subtotal<br>S1.00<br>S0.00<br>S6.00<br>S27.00<br>S6.50<br>S40.50<br>S4.05 | No. of units<br>4<br>0<br>12<br>9<br>0 | TEAM B<br>\$4.00<br>\$0.00<br>\$24.00<br>\$27.00<br>\$0.00<br>\$55.00<br>\$55.00 | No. of units<br>1<br>6<br>3<br>6<br>1 | TEAM C<br>\$1.00<br>\$30.00<br>\$6.00<br>\$18.00<br>\$0.30<br>\$55.55 | No. of units<br>0<br>6<br>8<br>4 | TEAM D<br>50.00<br>50.00<br>512.00<br>524.00<br>52.00<br>538.00<br>53.80 | No. of units<br>4<br>4<br>4<br>4<br>4      | TEAM E<br>54.00<br>520.00<br>54.00<br>512.00<br>52.00<br>542.00<br>542.00 | No. of units<br>0<br>0<br>30<br>3<br>2 | 50.00<br>50.00<br>520.0<br>520.0<br>53.00<br>53.00            |

Ryblowchi, Z. K., Munankami, M., Shepley, M. M., and Fernández-Solis, J. L. (2016). "Development and teoma of a losa simulation to illustrate key principles of Target Value Design: A first run study." In: Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> - Am. Conf. of the Int' Group for Lean Construction, Baston, MA, USA, accest 4p. 133-142. Available

#### DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING OF A LEAN SIMULATION TO ILLUSTRATE KEY PRINCIPLES OF TARGET VALUE DESIGN: A FIRST RUN STUDY

Zofia K. Rybkowski,<sup>1</sup> Manish B. Munankami,<sup>2</sup> Mardelle M. Shepley, and Jose L. Fernandez-Solis<sup>4</sup>

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT Target Value Design (IVD) is increasingly being used for Lean-Integrated Project Delivery processes—especially in the healthcare facility sector. However, the basic principles of TVD take time to comprehend and can seem doubing when subjective to the farst time on actual projects. The QUETON his research sought to address is: Can basic principles of TVD be effectively ungliv an a relatively simple and further should be the second the first mass on struin projects. The QUESTION is messarily sought to address is c. Camour properties of 2010 be effectively integrity as a relatively simple and were imministively as the properties of the structure of the st

KEYWORDS: Lean Simulation: Target Value Design; target cost; Integrated Project Delivery; Marshmatlow TVD Simulation INTRODUCTION

Capital projects are expensive. To make them more affordable, Target Value Design exercises have been incorporated into Lean-Integrated Project Delivery processes during the past decade. The St. Olaf Field House served as a pilot project in target costing (Ballard

Annual Professor, Department of Construction Sciences, Collage of Architecture, Texas Addi University, Texas and Constructions Tr. 1994 (2017). In Construction Sciences, Collage of Architecture, do, "very coproduct and the Science Sciences Tr. 1994 (2017). In Construction Sciences, Constructions, Texas Addi University, Collega Stonta, TS. 77(48)-3137. A sumi mananatamili panal constructions. Texas Addi University, Parforman, Design - Environmental Analysis, Associated Directory, Coreal Institutes for Healty Futures, Constitutional University, Bases, 77 (415)-484. A sumpley Sciencella dels.

Associate Professor, Department of Construction Science, College of Architecture, Texas A&M University College Station TX 77843-3137, e-mail: jsolis/itamm.edu

m 4: Product Development and Desten Ma

Research: Rybkowski, Z. K., Munankami, M., Shepley, M. M. and Fernández-Solis, J. L. (2016). "Development and testing of a lean simulation to illustrate key principles of Target Value Design: A first run study," Proc. 24th Annual Conf. of the Int. Group for Lean Construction, Boston, MA USA. <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xg9rWE3gi2M&t=8s">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xg9rWE3gi2M&t=8s</a>

\$ 27

#### Example application in Industry: Target Value Design: Cathedral Hill Hospital, SF CA

![](_page_47_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_17.jpeg)

A3s from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_47_Figure_19.jpeg)

Cost savings from TVD Exercises with scope changes, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_47_Figure_21.jpeg)

Total cost savings from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

Cluster group for Assembly Cost estimating, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

Source: Rybkowski, Z. K. (2009). "The Application of Root Cause Analysis and Target Value Design to Evidence-Based Design in the Capital Planning of Healthcare Facilities." PhD Dissertation, Civil and Envir. Engrg, University of California, Berkeley.

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

Research source: Jacob, G., Sharma, N., Rybkowski, Z. K., and Devkar, G. (2021). "Target Value Design: Development and Testing of a Virtual Simulation." Proc. 29th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC29), Alarcon, L.F. and González, V.A. (eds.), Lima, Peru, pp. 320–329. Example application in Industry: Target Value Design: Cathedral Hill Hospital, SF CA

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

Cluster group for Assembly Cost estimating,

Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA

(Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

A3s from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_48_Picture_6.jpeg)

Cost savings from TVD Exercises with scope changes, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_48_Figure_8.jpeg)

Total cost savings from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

Source: Rybkowski, Z. K. (2009). "The Application of Root Cause Analysis and Target Value Design to Evidence-Based Design in the Capital Planning of Healthcare Facilities." PhD Dissertation, Civil and Envir. Engrg, University of California, Berkeley.

On-line version

#### **Target Value Design Simulation**

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

Ng, M. S. and Hall D. H. (2021). "Teaching Target Value Design for digital fabrication in an online game: overview and case study." *Proc. 29th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction* (IGLC29), Alarcon, L.F. and González, V.A. (eds.), Lima, Peru, pp. 249–258.

#### Example application in Industry: Target Value Design: Cathedral Hill Hospital, SF CA

![](_page_49_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_9.jpeg)

A3s from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_49_Picture_11.jpeg)

Cost savings from TVD Exercises with scope changes, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_49_Figure_13.jpeg)

Total cost savings from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

Cluster group for Assembly Cost estimating, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

Source: Rybkowski, Z. K. (2009). "The Application of Root Cause Analysis and Target Value Design to Evidence-Based Design in the Capital Planning of Healthcare Facilities." PhD Dissertation, Civil and Envir. Engrg, University of California, Berkeley.

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

Kim, and Zofia Rybkowski, Texas A&M University

Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

A3s from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski

To be constructed in the Work of the test of the Work of Work o

Cost savings from TVD Exercises with scope changes, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

Total cost savings from TVD Exercises, Cathedral Hill Hospital, San Francisco, CA (Rybkowski 2009)

Source: Rybkowski, Z. K. (2009). "The Application of Root Cause Analysis and Target Value Design to Evidence-Based Design in the Capital Planning of Healthcare Facilities." PhD Dissertation, Civil and Envir. Engrg, University of California, Berkeley.

Zofia Rybkowski, PhD . Texas A&M University . zrybkowski@tamu.edu

### Shameless self-promotion...

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Social Network Analysis (SNA) map showing unique authors and their levels of collaboration across different databases.

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Top games played:

- Last Planner:
   Villego<sup>®</sup>/LEAPCON/DPR
   -Turner block tower
- Impact of Variability: Parade of Trades ("Dice Game")
- Pull & one-piece flow: Make-a-Card (Lego<sup>®</sup> Airplane Game)
- Collaboration: Silent Squares, Helium stick
- Target Value Design: Marshmallow Tower
   TVD Game

Analysis of simulation games with levels of discussion

## Participation in APLSO by country (115 total participants, 38 universities in 17 countries)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

Location of Registered, Unique Participants

Source: Rybkowski, Z. K., Alves, T. d. C. L., and Liu, M. (2021). "The emergence and growth of the on-line serious games and participatory simulation group APLSO," Proc. 29th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC29), Alarcon, L.F. and González, V.A. (eds.), Lima, Peru, pp. 269–278)

### Moving toward a fuller understanding of the whole elephant

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

Image sources: <https://fs.blog/elephant/> <http://housechurchministriesforjesus.com/newsletters/perspectives-blind-men-and-the-elephant/> <http://www.yedraw.com/how-to-draw-elephant.html#.YDw412hKiUk>

## Let's play the Spaghetti Kitchen Game!

## 8 Wastes: D.O.W.N.T.I.M.E.

Defects **Overproduction** Waiting Non-Utilized Talent **T**ransportation nventory Motion **E**xcess

Donarumo, J. and Zandy, K. (2019). The Lean Builders: A Builder's Guide to Applying Lean Tools in the Field, Lulu Publishing Services.

## A Spaghetti Kitchen, A Value Stream Mapping Exercise

Created by:

Yasaman Arefazar Graduate Student in Construction Management Department of Construction Science, College of Architecture, TAMU Email: <u>yasamanarefazar@tamu.edu</u>

Zofia K. Rybkowski, PhD Associate Professor Department of Construction Science, College of Architecture, TAMU Email: <u>zrybkowski@tamu.edu</u>

#### Spring 2022

Inspired by : Toast Kaizen: An Introduction to Continuous Improvement & Lean Principles (GBMP 2009)

Google Zofia Rybkowski and go to my website  $\rightarrow$  Research  $\rightarrow$  Simulation Research  $\rightarrow$  Value Stream Mapping Simulation

https://drive.google.com/drive/u/1/folders/1OsOUPYBnFgTztgSlxxD-iA9\_5iIZoG3C

## How many ways did you find to reduce waste?

#### **Gantt Charts**

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Timelines

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Spaghetti Diagrams

 Scenaro 1
 Scenaro 2

 Image: Construction of the state of

Arefazar, Y.\*and Rybkowski, Z. K. (2022). "Developing & Testing A Value Stream Map Simulation: Helping the Construction Industry Learn to See," Proceedings of the 30<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC30), 12 pp.

### Zofia K. Rybkowski, PhD

Associate Professor Department of Construction Science College of Architecture Texas A&M University College Station, TX

e-mail contact: zrybkowski@tamu.edu

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)